## Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/942,336	ASHIZAWA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Shar Hashemi	1637	

	onal Hashemi	1007	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Shar Hashemi</u> .	(3)		
(2) Melissa L. Sistrunk.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 20 June 2002.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	∍]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-28</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: No.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g) was not reached. h)	] N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Provisional election was Groupl, claims 1-17.</u>			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w		
<ul> <li>i) ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se checked).</li> </ul>	parate record of the substance	e of the interview	(if box is
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORI MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW reverse side or on attached sheet.	. (See MPEP Section 713.04) MONTH FROM THIS INTERV	. If a reply to the IEW DATE TO I	e last Office FILE A

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/942,336	ASHIZAWA ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Shar Hashemi	1637		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) <u>Shar Hashemi</u> .	(3) Jeffrey Siew.			
(2) <u>Melissa L. Sistrunk</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 20 June 2002.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)□ applicant's representativ	e]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: 1-28.				
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>No</u> .				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The first telephonic interview occurred on June 20, 2002, where a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-17. On September 4, 2002 at 1:00 pm, the second telephonic interview, Examiners Siew and Hashemi discussed items A and B on page 5 of the FAOM. Item B was "withdrawn" by Examiner Siew. Also, a possible amenedment to overcome the rejection was discussed.</u>				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no c allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w	reed would render the claims rould render the claims		
<ul> <li>i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se checked).</li> </ul>	eparate record of the substance	e of the interview(if box is		
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FOR MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW reverse side or on attached sheet.	. (See MPEP Section 713.04) MONTH FROM THIS INTERN	If a reply to the last Office		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signa	ature, if required		